

# Flat 501, Queens Park House, Queens Road, Coventry, CV1 3GX

1 message

Midlands RAP <rpmidland@justice.gov.uk>
To: Flat Justice <office@flatjustice.org>
Cc: Jordon Kellett <jordon.kellett@walkermorris.co.uk>

9 August 2024 at 13:07

### Premises: Flat 501, Queens Park House, Queens Road, Coventry, CV1 3GX

Good morning

The Tribunal has considered the application for permission to appeal, and it has been refused.

A copy of the document recording the Tribunal's decision is attached.

Kind regards

Nicola Nalty

Case Officer

Midland Residential First-tier Tribunal

Centre City Tower I 5-7 Hill Street, Birmingham I B5 4UU

DX: Midlands (West) Employment Tribunal – DX: 360601 – Birmingham 5

Phone: 0121 600 7894

gov.uk/hmcts



#### Here is how HMCTS uses personal data about you

This e-mail and any attachments is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail. This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. Monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.

Flat 501, Queens Park House appeal decision.pdf 285K

.



## FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case references : BIR/00CQ/HMK/2023/0002

Properties : Flat 501, Queens Park House, Queens Road, Coventry CV1 3GX

(1) Mr Christopher Bridges

Applicants : (2) Mr Morgan Pry
(3) Ms Emily Smith

(4) Mr Evan Williams

**Representative** : Flat Justice

Respondent : Unite Group plc (t/a Liberty Living

**Investments 1 Limited Partnership)** 

Representative : Walker Morris, Solicitors

Ms Chetna Parmar, Counsel

Application for permission to appeal award of Rent Repayment

**Orders** 

Tribunal members : Judge David R Salter

Mr A Lavender BSc Hons, CEHP

Date of decision : 11 June 2024

### **DECISION**

### DECISION OF THE TRIBUNAL

- 1. The Tribunal has considered the Applicants' request for permission to appeal dated 21 June 2024.
- 2. In accordance with the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the Tribunal may only undertake a review of a decision pursuant to Rule 53 (review of an application for permission to appeal) having regard to the overriding objective in Rule 3 (dealing with cases fairly and justly) and that it is satisfied that a ground of appeal is likely to be successful.
- 3. In furtherance of Rule 53, the Tribunal determines that:
  - (a) it will not review its decision; and
  - (b) permission be refused.
- 4. Further, in accordance with section 11 of the Tribunals, Courts and Enforcement Act 2007 and rule 21 of the Tribunal Procedure (Upper Tribunal) (Lands Chamber) Rules 2010, the Applicants may make further application for permission to appeal to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber). Such application must be made in writing and received by the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) no later than 14 days after the date on which the First-tier Tribunal sent notice of this refusal to the party applying for permission to appeal.
- 5. Where possible, you should send your further application for permission to appeal **by email** to <u>Lands@justice.gov.uk</u>, as this will enable the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) to deal with it more efficiently.
- 6. Alternatively, the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) may be contacted at: 5th Floor, Rolls Building, 7 Rolls Buildings, Fetter Lane, London EC4A 1NL (tel: 020 7612 9710).

### REASONS FOR THE DECISION

- 7. The Applicants were successful in this case.
- 8. However, they seek to challenge through this application for permission to appeal the amount of each of the repayment orders awarded by the Tribunal (45% of the net rent). They submit that the amount of each order should be no less than 80% of the net rent having, initially, before the Tribunal sought an award of 100%.
- 9. In reaching its decision in the case, the Tribunal took into account and assessed the totality of the evidence (including such evidence as pertained to the EICR) and relevant legislation and case law (including the First-tier and Upper Tribunal decisions in *LDC* (Ferry Lane) GP3 Ltd v Garro).

- 10. Accordingly, the Tribunal affirms its decision on this issue and rejects the position adopted by the Applicants.
- In addition, the Applicants seek to question the amount allowed by the Tribunal by way of deduction for the costs of utilities. In this respect, the evidence submitted by the Respondent on the costs of utilities was not confirmed or endorsed by supporting evidence. Consequently and in accordance with *Acheampong*, the Tribunal made an informed estimate which provided, in its opinion, a reasonable approximation of those costs that was referrable to the Applicants' respective occupations of the property.
- 12. Therefore and similarly, the Tribunal rejects the Applicants' position on this issue.

	1.	_
Name:	DR Salter	Date: